Appl. No. 10/824,743 Response dated October 31, 2006 Reply to Office Action of July 31, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I, Tracy Bruesewitz, hereby certify that this correspondence is being

electronically filed with the United States Patent and Trademark

Offige on the date of my signature.

10.31.2004

Date of Signature

Appl. No.

: 10/824,743

Confirmation No.

: 7033

Applicant

: David Edwin Thurston et al.

Filed

: April 15, 2004

Title

: COLLECTIONS OF

COMPOUNDS

TC/A.U.

: 1626

Examiner

: Coppins, Janet L.

Docket No.

: 065435-9035-US00

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed July 31, 2006, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections based on the following remarks. Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended during the telephone interviews on October 26th, 27th and 30th. The Examiner indicated that the application was in the wrong art unit based on the elected claims and would be transferred to the proper art unit.

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's characterization of the scope of the elected subject matter. Applicants elected the invention of claims 36-40 and elected Formula 60 as the species. Applicants did not limit the elected subject matter as described in the Office action.

Claims 36-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite for the use of the language "A collection of compounds." Applicants respectfully submit that this language is not indefinite and request that the rejection be withdrawn.

Appl. No. 10/824,743 Response dated October 31, 2006 Reply to Office Action of July 31, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Charlene L. Yager Reg. No. 48,887

Docket No.: 065435-9035-US00 Michael Best & Friedrich LLP One South Pinckney Street P. O. Box 1806 Madison, WI 53701-1806

Q:\CLIENT\065435\9035\B0905631.1

(608) 257-3501